УДК 338.24:378

ORGANIZATIONAL AND ECONOMIC SYNERGY IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE ENGINEERING EDUCATION SERVICES MARKET: THE CASE OF NIGERIA

Andrianchikova M[1], Morakinyo Ojo Adetomiwa[2]
[1, 2] Sukhoi State Technical University of Gomel, Gomel, Republic of Belarus
[1] morasco33@gstu.by

Abstract. The paper substantiates a synergy-based organizational and economic mechanism for developing the engineering education services market in Nigeria. The relevance of the study is determined by the growing demand for engineering competencies and persistent gaps between educational outcomes and labor-market expectations. The purpose of the paper is to propose a coherent mechanism that aligns incentives of key actors (state regulators, universities/polytechnics, industry, households, and intermediaries) and to outline practical instruments and measurable indicators for implementation. The study uses a conceptual design approach: system mapping of stakeholders and market failures; synthesis of governance, financing, and quality-assurance tools; construction of an indicator framework to monitor progress. The results include a structured synergy mechanism integrating regulatory coordination, diversified financing, outcome-based quality assurance, and institutionalized industry–education cooperation (work-based learning, joint curriculum design, and co-financed infrastructure). The proposed mechanism can be used as a policy and managerial template for improving market transparency, raising quality and relevance of engineering programs, and strengthening sustainable market growth.

Keywords: engineering education; educational services market; organizational mechanism; economic mechanism; synergy; Nigeria; industry–university partnership; quality assurance; financing; governance.

ОРГАНИЗАЦИОННАЯ И ЭКОНОМИЧЕСКАЯ СИНЕРГИЯ В РАЗВИТИИ РЫНКА УСЛУГ ИНЖЕНЕРНОГО ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ: ПРИМЕР НИГЕРИИ

Андрианчикова М.[1], Моракиньо Ожо Адетомива[2]
[1, 2] Гомельский государственный технический университет им. Сухого, г.Гомель, РБ
[1] morasco33@gstu.by

Аннотация. В статье обосновывается основанный на синергии организационно-экономический механизм развития рынка услуг инженерного образования в Нигерии. Актуальность исследования обусловлена растущим спросом на инженерные

компетенции и сохраняющимся разрывом между результатами образования и ожиданиями рынка труда. Цель статьи – предложить согласованный механизм, который согласовывает стимулы ключевых участников (государственные регуляторы, университеты/политехнические институты, промышленность, домохозяйства и посредники), а также обозначить практические инструменты и измеримые показатели для их реализации. В исследовании используется концептуальный подход: картирование системы заинтересованных сторон и рыночных сбоев; синтез инструментов управления, финансирования и обеспечения качества; создание системы показателей для мониторинга прогресса. Результаты включают структурированный механизм синергии, интегрирующий координацию регулирования, диверсифицированное финансирование, обеспечение качества на основе результатов и институционализированное сотрудничество между промышленностью и образованием (обучение на рабочем месте, совместная разработка учебных программ и совместное финансирование инфраструктуры). Предложенный механизм может быть использован в качестве политического и управленческого шаблона для повышения прозрачности рынка, повышения качества и актуальности инженерных программ и укрепления устойчивого роста рынка.

Ключевые слова: инженерное образование; рынок образовательных услуг; организационный механизм; экономический механизм; синергия; Нигерия; партнерство промышленности и университета; обеспечение качества; финансирование; управление.

Nigeria’s education and training sector experiences sustained demand pressures driven by demography and expanding needs for skills, while the capacity and quality of provision face structural constraints. For engineering education, the challenge is more specific: employers often report a mismatch between graduate competencies and industrial expectations. Constraints are frequently connected with curricula, facilities, funding, and weak university–industry linkages. In market terms, these constraints reduce the value proposition of engineering education services, weaken trust and transparency, and limit the market’s ability to scale with quality.

The education services market in Nigeria includes public and private providers and is shaped by regulation, financing models, and consumer behavior (households, firms, and the state). Therefore, market development requires not a single reform tool but a coordinated (synergistic) mechanism aligning organizational arrangements with economic incentives.

Purpose: to develop a synergy-based organizational and economic mechanism for the development of the engineering education services market in Nigeria.

Tasks:

1) Identify the key actors and typical market failures in engineering education services.

2) Propose a set of organizational and economic instruments that reinforce each other (synergy).

3) Define practical indicators for monitoring implementation and outcomes.

The paper uses a conceptual design and synthesis methodology:

• stakeholder and system mapping of the engineering education services market (supply, demand, regulation, intermediaries);

• identification of bottlenecks and coordination failures described in analytical and research sources;

• mechanism design: grouping instruments into mutually reinforcing blocks (governance, financing, quality assurance, industry integration);

• development of a monitoring framework (indicators and data sources).

Engineering education services have features that create coordination problems: information asymmetry, positive externalities, underinvestment in facilities and practical training when funding is unstable, and weak coordination between regulators, providers, and industry regarding standards and outcomes. Hence, isolated measures (only increasing funding or only revising curricula) have limited effect.

The proposed mechanism is synergy-based: each block strengthens others through aligned incentives and shared metrics. The mechanism integrates four interconnected blocks: (A) Governance and coordination; (B) Financing and resource mobilization; (C) Quality assurance and transparency; (D) Industry–education integration.

5. Practical recommendations for implementation

1) Start with a pilot in priority engineering disciplines and regions to test the mechanism.

2) Establish a minimal dashboard of outcomes (employment, internship coverage, QA status) and publish it annually.

3) Launch competitive grants for practice-oriented modernization (labs, project-based learning, industry mentors) tied to measurable outcomes.

4) Formalize industry participation: joint curriculum committees and co-supervision of capstone projects.

5) Scale based on evaluation results, ensuring institutional learning and gradual standardization.

Table

Synergy mechanism for developing the engineering education services market in Nigeria

Block	Key actors	Core instruments (organizational + economic)	Expected effects	Suggested indicators (examples)
A. Governance & coordination	Federal/state education bodies; engineering regulators; institutions	Inter-agency coordination council for engineering education; clear division of roles; performance agreements with institutions; public reporting cycles	Reduced fragmentation; predictable rules; faster reform adoption	Frequency of published performance reports; share of institutions under performance agreements
B. Financing & resource mobilization	Government; institutions; industry; households; donors	Mixed financing model: baseline public funding + competitive grants; co-financing from industry for labs; targeted scholarships/loans for engineering students; incentives for endowments and alumni giving	Better infrastructure; lower financial barriers; stronger sustainability	Capital expenditure per engineering student; number/value of co-financed lab projects; scholarship/loan coverage rate
C. Quality assurance & transparency	QA agencies; institutions; employers	Outcome-based standards; periodic program review; employer participation in QA panels; transparent dashboards (admissions, completion, employment outcomes)	Higher trust and comparability; improved learning outcomes	Accreditation/QA status distribution; graduate employment rate in engineering-related jobs; employer satisfaction surveys
D. Industry–education integration	Firms; institutions; professional bodies	Work-based learning (internships/residency); joint curriculum committees; joint applied projects; mentors from industry; career services partnerships	Reduced skills mismatch; stronger demand for programs; higher employability	Share of students in structured internships; number of joint curriculum updates; number of applied projects with firms

The paper proposes a synergy-based organizational and economic mechanism for developing the engineering education services market in Nigeria. The mechanism integrates governance coordination, diversified financing, outcome-oriented quality assurance, and institutionalized industry–education cooperation. This integrated approach provides a practical monitoring framework via measurable indicators and can serve as a policy and management

template to increase transparency, improve quality and relevance, and support sustainable market growth.

References

1. International Trade Administration. Nigeria – Education and Training. Country Commercial Guide. (Accessed: 2026-05-16).

2. International Trade Administration. Nigeria – Education and Training Services Industry Snapshot. (Accessed: 2026-05-16).

3. Idris, A.; Rajuddin, M. The Trend of Engineering Education in Nigerian Tertiary Institutions of Learning Towards Achieving Technological Development // Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences. 2012. Vol. 56. P. 730-736.

4. Igbokwe, N. Engineering Education in Nigeria for Engineering Graduates: Issues and Strategies // Journal of Scientific Research and Reports. 2019.

5. COREN reintroduces one-year engineering programme for graduates. (Accessed: 2026-05-16).